

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/723,654	Applicant(s) KUMAR ET AL.	
	Examiner James H. Alstrum-Acevedo	Art Unit 1616	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Allowed

 (1) James H. Alstrum-Acevedo.

(3) _____.

 (2) Mr. Chris I. Halliday.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 November 2006
Time: ~5:00 pm EST
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

44, 50, and 60-111

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner called Mr. Halliday, Esq. to obtain permission for the proposed examiner's amendment (see attached), which would place the instant application in condition for allowance. Mr. Chris I. Halliday, Esq. approved the proposed amendments.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/723,654	KUMAR ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	James H. Alstrum-Acevedo	1616	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowed

(1) James H. Alstrum-Acevedo.

(3) _____

(2) Mr. Chris I. Halliday, Esq.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 1 December 2006

Time: ~11:25 AM EST

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
 None

Claims discussed:
 44, 50, 60, 66-77, 83-94, and 100-111

Prior art documents discussed:
 None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
 See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called Mr. Halliday to request permission for additional Examiner's Amendment to (1) delete the phrase, "of any of the forgoing" and replace with "thereof" in claims 44, 50, and 60; and (2) delete the word "comprises" on line 2 of claims 66-77, 83-94, and 100-111 and replace with "is". Mr. Halliday gave permission for said changes..